Internal beg of Feynman diagrams.
– no direct connection with vacuum fluctuations which do not require interaction

15) Conclusion : a) Continuum & afterwards
('particle gun' retained
2) particle aspect comes FI
In philosophical argument against QFT
all against particle aspect
c) Heuristic Value of Feyn Diag
d) Difficulties attributed to QFT
– divergences - renormalization
– Wightman - Solomon – Haag Ruelle
→ ability to calculate
e) Moral: Do not abandon Program
in face of 1) Inconsistency (Inconsistency)
2) lack of Rad Corrections
due to Computer Soft.

16) Concluding Remark: Nothing has happened since 1930. Plea for open, critical discussion & interpretation of QFT by philosophers

In a sense nothing has happened in QFT since around 1930. In Kuhnian terms there has been no revolution, no paradigm switch (But cf the mid S-matrix programme of the 1960's – Just a gradual working out of the consequences and really unexpected technical richness of the theory. But in respect of the interpretation of QFT, what the formalism really commits us to ontologically speaking there has been too much rhetoric and too little informed and critical discussion. The object of this paper has been to entice (and assist) philosophers who might like to join in the arguments.